

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/747,867	HERBISON ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Quoc A. Tran	2176	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Quoc A. Tran (USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christopher L. Lutz (Attorney). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 July 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

34-35 and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

No

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case. Applicant's Attorney agrees to the following: amended of claims 34-35 and 37 to method claims rather than the device claims as cited in the current paper, since they are depending upon a method claim (independent claim 1). The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of the pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Quoc A. Tran/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2176

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

